

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 GARY LEE HELMS,  
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 12-034 SI (pr)

10 v.  
11 SANTA CLARA PUBLIC  
12 DEFENDERS OFFICE; et al.,  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Mail that was sent on May 16, 2012 to plaintiff at the county jail address he provided was  
15 returned to the court undelivered on May 30, 2012. Plaintiff has not provided any address other  
16 than the one to which the undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since  
17 the mail was returned to the court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule  
18 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding *pro se* must "promptly file with the Court and  
19 serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when  
20 his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the court to dismiss a complaint without  
21 prejudice when mail directed to a *pro se* party is returned as not deliverable and the *pro se* party  
22 fails to file a notice of his current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail.  
23 For the foregoing reasons, this action is dismissed without prejudice because plaintiff failed to  
24 keep the court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a).

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: September 11, 2012

  
27 SUSAN ILLSTON  
28 United States District Judge